Received 26 May 2005

Online 20 August 2005

Accepted 15 August 2005

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Tao Zeng, Xue-Gui Shu, Chuan-Ming Dong and Li-Gong Chen\*

College of Pharmaceuticals & Biotechnology, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: zengtaotj@126.com

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 294 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å R factor = 0.054 wR factor = 0.164 Data-to-parameter ratio = 11.1

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. In the title compound,  $C_{33}H_{53}NO_2$ , the phenolic hydroxyl groups are sterically hindered by the adjacent tert-butyl groups.

N,N-Bis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-hydroxybenzyl)-

## Comment

N-propylamine

Sterically hindered phenol anti-oxidants are widely used in polymers and lubricants. They can protect polymers by increasing both their process stability and their long-term stability against oxidative degradation (Yamazaki & Seguchi, 1997). The title compound,  $C_{33}H_{53}NO_2$ , (I), was synthesized and its structure is reported here. Selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 1. The phenolic hydroxyl groups are sterically hindered by the adjacent *tert*-butyl groups.



## **Experimental**

4-Bromomethyl-2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-phenol was synthesized according to the method described by Rieker *et al.* (1968). Propylamine (2.0 g,



### Figure 1

A view of the molecular structure of (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.

© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# organic papers

0.034 mol) and 4-bromomethyl-2,6-di-tert-butyl-phenol (9.0 g, 0.03 mol) were added, with stirring to THF (40 ml) at 273 K. The reaction mixture was stirred at 273-278 K for a further 3 h; a solution of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (1.60 g, 0.015 mol) in water (10 ml) was then added. The solvent THF was evaporated under reduced pressure and the product was extracted with diethyl ether. The product (6.93 g) was obtained in a yield of 93.2%. Suitable crystals (m.p. 418-420 K) were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution in dichloromethane and ethanol. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, δ, p.p.m.): 0.91 (t, 3H), 1.43 (s, 36H), 1.54 (m, 2H), 2.35 (t, 2H), 3.44 (s, 4H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 7.16(s, 4H) <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>,  $\delta$ , p.p.m.): 152.6 (2C), 135.6 (4C), 131.1 (2C), 125.3 (4C), 58.6 (2C), 55.5 (1C), 34.5 (4C), 30.7 (12C), 20.6 (1C), 12.2 (1C).

#### Crystal data

C <sub>33</sub> H <sub>53</sub> NO <sub>2</sub>	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 495.76$	Cell parameters from 3052
Tetragonal, P43	reflections
a = 15.822 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 19.6^{\circ}$
c = 12.844 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.06 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 3215.4 (15) \text{ Å}^3$	T = 294 (2) K
Z = 4	Block, colourless
$D_x = 1.024 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.24 \times 0.22 \times 0.18 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	

Bruker SMART CCD area-detector
diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)
$T_{\min} = 0.972, \ T_{\max} = 0.989$
18244 measured reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0563P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.054$	+ 0.9914P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.164$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
3601 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
325 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.15 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

3601 independent reflections 1888 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.128$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.0^\circ$  $h = -19 \rightarrow 18$  $k = -20 \rightarrow 15$  $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$ 

#### Table 1

Selected	geometric	parameters	(Å,	°).
----------	-----------	------------	-----	-----

1.465 (5)	C4-C15	1.516 (6)
1.466 (5)	C6-C11	1.549 (7)
1.381 (5)	C11-C12	1.547 (7)
1.404 (7)	C17-C18	1.474 (10)
110.2 (3)	C2 C3 H34	118 7
110.2(3) 111.4(3)	$C_{2} = C_{3} = H_{3}$	108.7
110.0(3)	N1 - C15 - H15B	108.7
119.8 (5)	C18-C17-C16	113.0 (5)
121.9 (4)		
177.7 (4)	C3-C2-C7-C10	5.9 (6)
-2.8(6)	N1-C16-C17-C18	-57.6 (8)
-178.1 (4)		
	1.465 (5) 1.466 (5) 1.381 (5) 1.404 (7) 110.2 (3) 111.4 (3) 110.0 (3) 119.8 (5) 121.9 (4) 177.7 (4) -2.8 (6) -178.1 (4)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

O-bound H-atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and refined using a riding model, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(O)$  and O-H =



Figure 2	
The packing of (I).	

0.98 and 1.08 Å. All other H atoms were positioned geometrically (C-H = 0.96-0.97 Å) and refined using a riding model, with  $U_{iso}(H)$ =  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ . Refinement was attempted in space groups  $P4_1$  and  $P4_3$ and identical results were obtained with respect to the R factors, goodness-of-fit and convergence. However, in the absence of significant anomalous dispersion atoms, the space group assignment cannot be determined simply from the X-ray diffraction data, and thus space group P43 was chosen arbitrarily. In the absence of significant anomalous scattering effects, Friedel pairs were merged.

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1997); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1997); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

### References

Bruker (1997). SMART, SAINT and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin USA

Rieker, A., Kaufmann, H., Brück, D., Workman, R. and Müller, E. (1968). Tetrahedron, 24, 103-115.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany,
- Yamazaki, T. & Seguchi, T. (1997). J. Polym. Sci. Part A Polym. Chem. 35, 2431-2439.